arXiv:1106.5591v1 [math.CO] 28 Jun 2011

k-TUPLE TOTAL RESTRAINED DOMINATION AND k-TUPLE TOTAL RESTRAINED DOMATIC IN GRAPHS

ADEL P. KAZEMI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MOHAGHEGH ARDABILI
P. O. BOX 5619911367, ARDABIL, IRAN
ADELPKAZEMI@YAHOO.COM

Abstract. Let G be a graph of order n and size m and let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A k-tuple total dominating set in G is called a k-tuple total restrained dominating set of G if each vertex $x \in V(G) - S$ is adjacent to at least k vertices of $V(G) - S$. The minimum number of vertices of a such sets in G are the k-tuple total restrained domination number $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$ of G. The maximum number of classes of a partition of $V(G)$ such that its all classes are k-tuple total restrained dominating sets in G, is called the k-tuple total restrained domatic number of G.

In this manuscript, we first find $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, when G is complete graph, cycle, bipartite graph and the complement of path or cycle. Also we will find bounds for this number when G is a complete multipartite graph. Then we will know the structure of graphs G which $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$, for some $m \geq k + 1$ and give upper and lower bounds for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, when G is an arbitrary graph. Next, we mainly present basic properties of the k-tuple total restrained domatic number of a graph and give bounds for it. Finally we give bounds for the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the complementary prism $G\overline{G}$ in terms on the similar number of G and $\overline{G}$ when G is a regular graph or an arbitrary graph. And then we calculate it when G is cycle or path.

Keywords : k-tuple total (restrained) domination number, k-tuple total (restrained) domatic number.

2000 Mathematics subject classification : 05C69

1. Introduction

The research of the domination in graphs has been an evergreen of the graph theory. Its basic concept is the dominating set and the domination number. A numerical invariant of a graph which is in a certain sense dual to it is the domatic number of a graph. And many variants of the dominating set were introduced and the corresponding numerical invariants were defined for them. Here, we initial to study of the k-tuple total restrained domination number and the k-tuple total restrained domatic number.

We start with definitions of various concepts concerning the domination in graphs. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *k-tuple total dominating set*, briefly kTDS, [8] in G, if for each $x \in V(G)$, $| N(x) \cap S | \geq k$. Recall that 1-tuple total dominating set is known as *total dominating set*.

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A k-tuple total dominating set in G is called a *k-tuple total restrained dominating set*, briefly kTRDS, in G, if each vertex $x \in V(G) - S$ is adjacent to at least k vertices of $V(G) - S$. The minimum number of vertices of a k-tuple total dominating set in a graph G is the *k-tuple total domination number* of G and denoted by $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$. Analogously the *k-tuple total restrained domination number* $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$ is defined. Obviously, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$.

The domatic number of a graph was introduced in [1], and the total domatic number in [2]. Sheikholeslami and Volkmann extended the last definition to the k-tuple total domatic number $d_{\times k,t}(G)$ in [10]. In an analogous way we will define the k-tuple total restrained domatic number and then we will discuss the purpose of defining it. Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a partition of the vertex set $V(G)$ of G. If all classes of $\mathbf{D}$ are k-tuple total restrained dominating sets in G, then $\mathbf{D}$ is called a *k-tuple total* *restrained domatic partition*, briefly kTRDP, of G. The maximum number of classes of a k-tuple total restrained domatic partition of G is the *k-tuple total restrained domatic number* $d^r_{\times k,t}(G)$ of G.

Haynes et al. in [6] defined a new type of graph product that generalizes the concept of a cartesian product. Let G and H be two graphs with the vertices sets $V(G) = \{u_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $V(H) = \{v_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq p\}$. Let R be a subset of $V(G)$ and S be a subset of $V(H)$. The *complementary product* $G(R)\Box H(S)$ are defined as follows. The vertex set $G(R)\Box H(S)$ is $\{(u_i, v_j) : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p\}$. And the edge $(u_i, v_j)(u_h, v_k)$ is in $E(G(R)\Box H(S))$

1. if $i = h$, $u_i \in R$ and $v_jv_k \in E(H)$, or if $i = h$, $u_i \notin R$ and $v_jv_k \notin E(H)$, or
2. if $j = k$, $v_j \in S$ and $u_iu_h \in E(G)$, or if $j = k$, $v_j \notin S$ and $u_iu_h \notin E(G)$.

In other words, for each $u_i \in V(G)$, we replace u_i with a copy of H if u_i is in R and with a copy of its complement $\overline{H}$ if u_i is not in R, and for each $v_j \in V(H)$, we replace each v_j with a copy of G if $v_j \in S$ and a copy of $\overline{G}$ if $v_j \notin S$. If $R = V(G)$ (respectively, $S = V(H)$), we write simply $G\Box H(S)$ (respectively, $G(R)\Box H$). Thus, $G\Box H(S)$ is the graph obtained by replacing each vertex v of H by a copy of G if $v \in S$ and by a copy of $\overline{G}$ if $v \notin S$, and replacing each u_i with a copy of H. Therefore, the cartesian product of G and H is simply $G(V(G))\Box H(V(H)) = G\Box H$.

The *complementary prism* $G\overline{G}$ of a graph G is the special complementary product $G\Box K_2(S)$ where $\mid S \mid= 1$. In other words the complementary prism $G\overline{G}$ of G is the graph formed from the disjoint union $G\cup\overline{G}$ of G and $\overline{G}$ by adding the edges of a perfect matching between the corresponding vertices (same label) of G and $\overline{G}$. For example, the graph $C_5\overline{C_5}$ is the Petersen graph. Also, if $G = K_n$, the graph $K_n\overline{K_n}$ is the corona $K_n \circ K_1$, where the *corona* $G \circ K_1$ of a graph G is the graph obtained from G by attaching a pendant edge to each vertex of G.

The *k-join* $G \circ_k H$ of a graph G to a graph H of order at least k is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and H by joining each vertex of G to at least k vertices of H.

The notation we use is as follows. Let G be a simple graph with *vertex set* $V = V(G)$ and *edge set* $E = E(G)$. The *order* $\mid V \mid$ and *size* $\mid E \mid$ of G are respectively denoted by $n = n(G)$ and $m = m(G)$. For every vertex $v \in V$, the *open neighborhood* $N_G(v)$ is the set $\{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$ and its *closed neighborhood* is the set $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. The *degree* of a vertex $v \in V$ is $deg(v) =\mid N(v) \mid$. The *minimum* and *maximum degree* of a graph G are denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$ and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, respectively. If every vertex of G has degree k, then G is said to be *k-regular*. The *complement* of a graph G is denoted by $\overline{G}$ which is a graph with $V(\overline{G}) = V(G)$ and for every two vertices v and w, $vw \in E(\overline{G})$ if and only if $vw \notin E(G)$. We write K_n for the *complete graph* of order n and $K_{n_1,\ldots,n_p}$ for the *complete p-partite graph*.

Also we write C_n and P_n, respectively, for a *cycle* and a *path* of order n, in which $C_n\overline{C_n}$ and $P_n\overline{P_n}$ denote their complementary prisms. Here we assume that $V(C_n) = V(P_n) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $E(C_n) = E(P_n) \cup \{1n\} = \{ij \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } j \equiv i + 1 \pmod n\}$. We also assume $V(\overline{G}) = \{\overline{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, where G is C_n or P_n, and every vertex i in G is adjacent to its respective vertex $\overline{i}$ in $\overline{G}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the complete graphs, cycles, bipartite graphs and the complement of paths or cycles. Also we will present some bounds for the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the complete multipartite graph. Then, in section 3, we will show the structure of graphs G which $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$, for some $m \geq k+1$ and give upper and lower bounds for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, when G is an arbitrary graph. In the next section, we mainly present basic properties of the k-tuple total restrained domatic number of a graph and give bounds for it. Also we give some sufficient conditions for the k-tuple domination (resp. domatic) number of a graph is its k-tuple restrained domination (resp. domatic) number. Finally, in the last section, we give some bounds for the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the complementary prism $G\overline{G}$ in terms on the similar number of G and $\overline{G}$ when G is a regular graph or an arbitrary graph. And then we calculate it for the complementary prism of a cycle or path.

The following observations and propositions are useful.

Observation 1. *Let G be a graph of order n in which $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then*

i. *every vertex of degree at most $2k-1$ of G and at least its k neighbors belong to every kTRDS,*

ii. *if* $\delta(G) \le 2k-1$, *then* $d^r_{\times k,t}(G) = 1$,
iii. *if* $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) < n$, *then* $\Delta(G) \ge 2k$, *and so* $n \ge 2k+2$.

Observation 2. *Let* $k < n$ *be two positive integers. Then* $d^r_{\times k,t}(K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor$.

Proposition A. (**Kazemi** [9] **2011**) *Let* $n \ge 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma_t(C_n\overline{C_n}) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 2 & \textit{if } n \equiv 0 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 1 & \textit{if } n \equiv 3 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil & \textit{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition B. (**Kazemi** [9] **2011**) *If* $n \ge 5$, *then* $\gamma_{\times 2,t}(C_n\overline{C_n}) = n+2$.

Proposition C. (**Kazemi** [9] **2011**) *Let* $n \ge 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma_t(P_n\overline{P_n}) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil (n-2)/4 \rceil + 1 & \textit{if } n \equiv 3 (\textit{ mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil (n-2)/4 \rceil + 2 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. k-TUPLE TOTAL RESTRAINED DOMINATION NUMBER IN SOME GRAPHS

By Observation 1(iii), we have $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(K_n) = n$ if $n \le 2k+1$. Since also every $(k+1)$-subset of vertices is a kTRDS of K_n, when $n \ge 2k+2$, then we have the next result.

Proposition 3. *Let* $k < n$ *be positive integers. Then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(K_n) = \begin{cases} n & \textit{if } n \le 2k+1, \\ k+1 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next three propositions present $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n})$, $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n})$ and $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(C_n)$.

Proposition 4. *Let* $n \ge k+3 \ge 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = \begin{cases} n & \textit{if } n \le 2k+2, \\ k+2 & \textit{if } 2k+3 \le n \le 3k+2, \\ k+1 & \textit{if } n \ge 3k+3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first prove that $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = k+1$ if and only if $n \ge 3k+3$. Let S be a kTRDS of $\overline{C_n}$ with cardinal $k+1$. Then for every two arbitrary vertices $\overline{i}$ and $\overline{j}$ in S, $\mid \overline{i} - \overline{j} \mid \ge 3$, and so $n \ge 3k+3$. Since also $\{\overline{3i+1} \mid 0 \le i \le k\}$ is a kTRDS of $\overline{C_n}$, when $n \ge 3k+3$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = k+1$.

Observation 1(iii) follows that $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = n$ if and only if $k+3 \le n \le 2k+1$. Let now $n = 2k+2$. Then $\delta(G) = \Delta(G) = n-3 = 2k-1$. Let S be a kTRDS of $\overline{C_n}$. Let $\overline{i} \in V - S$. Since $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap S \mid \ge k$ and $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap (V-S) \mid \ge k$, then $deg(\overline{i}) \ge 2k$ that is not possible. Therefore $S = V$ and so $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = n$. For the other cases, obviously $S = \{\overline{2i+1} \mid 0 \le i \le k+1\}$ is a kTRDS of $\overline{C_n}$ and so $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = k+2$. □

Proposition 5. *Let* $n \ge k+3 \ge 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma^r_t(\overline{P_n}) = \begin{cases} n & \textit{if } n = 4, \\ 2 & \textit{if } n \ge 5, \end{cases}$$

and if $k \ge 2$, *then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) = \begin{cases} n & \textit{if } n \le 2k+2, \\ k+2 & \textit{if } 2k+3 \le n \le 3k, \\ k+1 & \textit{if } n \ge 3k+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. One can verify that $\gamma^r_t(\overline{P_n})$ is 2 if and only if $n \ge 5$, and otherwise is n. Let now $k \ge 2$. It can be easily verify that $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) = k+1$ if and only if there exists a kTRDS S of $\overline{P_n}$ such that for every two disjoint vertices $\overline{i}$ and $\overline{j}$ in S, the difference between $\overline{i}$ and $\overline{j}$ to modulo n is at least 3 or $\{\overline{i}, \overline{j}\} = \{\overline{1}, \overline{n}\}$. And this is equivalent to $n \ge 3k+1$. Since $S = \{\overline{3i+1} \mid 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{\overline{n}\}$ is a kTRDS of $\overline{P_n}$, for $n \ge 3k+1$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) = k+1$. Let now $n = k+i \le 3k$, and let S be a kTRDS of $\overline{P_n}$. For every vertex x in $V-S$, $deg(x) \ge n-1- \mid S \mid \ge n-k-3 = i-3$. Since also $deg(x) \ge k$, then $i \ge k+3$. Hence $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) = n$ if $n \le 2k+2$. Let now $2k+3 \le n \le 3k$.

Since $\overline{C_n}$ is a spanning subgraph of $\overline{P_n}$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) \le \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{C_n}) = k+2$, by Proposition 4. Now $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) > k+1$ follows $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{P_n}) = k+2$. $\square$

Proposition 6. *Let $n \ge 4$. Then $\gamma^r_{\times 2,t}(C_n) = n$ and*

$$\gamma^r_t(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil n/4 \rceil - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 4)}, \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \text{ (mod 4)}, \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil & \text{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is trivial that $\gamma^r_{\times 2,t}(C_n) = n$. We note that

$$\gamma_t(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil n/4 \rceil - 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 4)}, \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil & \text{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $n \equiv 0, 1, 2$ (mod 4), since the corresponding sets $S_0 = \{2+4i, 3+4i \mid 0 \le i \le \lfloor n/4 \rfloor - 1\}$, $S_1 = S_0 \cup \{n-1\}$ and $S_2 = S_0 \cup \{1, n-2\}$ are total restrained dominating sets with cardinal $\gamma_t(C_n)$, then we have proved proposition, when $n \not\equiv 3$ (mod 4). Let now $n \equiv 3$ (mod 4). Then it can be easily verify that $\gamma^r_t(C_n) \ge \gamma_t(C_n) + 1$, and since $S_3 = S_0 \cup \{1, n-3, n\}$ is a total restrained dominating set of C_n with cardinal $\gamma_t(C_n) + 1$, then $\gamma^r_t(C_n) = 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 1$. $\square$

Now we present the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the bipartite graphs.

Proposition 7. *Let G be a bipartite graph with $\delta(G) \ge k \ge 1$. Then $2k \le \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \le n$. Moreover, if X and Y are the bipartite sets of G, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k$ if and only if there exist two k-subsets $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$ such that for each vertex $x \in X$, $N(x) \supseteq T$, and for each vertex $y \in Y$, $N(y) \supseteq S$ and the minimum degree of the induced subgraph $G[(X-S) \cup (Y-T)]$ is at least k.*

Proof. Let D be a $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$-set, and let $w \in X$ and $z \in Y$ be two arbitrary vertices. The definition implies that $\mid D \cap N(w) \mid \ge k$ and $\mid D \cap N(z) \mid \ge k$. Since $N(w) \cap N(z) = \emptyset$, we deduce that $\mid D \mid \ge 2k$ and thus $2k \le \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \le n$. If there exist two k-subsets $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$ such that for each vertex $x \in X$, $N(x) \supseteq T$, and for each vertex $y \in Y$, $N(y) \supseteq S$ and also the minimum degree of the induced subgraph $G[(X-S) \cup (Y-T)]$ is at least k, then obviously $D = S \cup T$ is a k-tuple total restrained dominating set of G. This implies $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \le 2k$ and so $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k$.

Conversely, assume that $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k$, and let D be a $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$-set. It follows that

$$\mid D \cap X \mid = \mid D \cap Y \mid = k.$$

Now let $S = D \cap X$ and $T = D \cap Y$. Then $T \subseteq N(x)$ for each vertex $x \in X$ and $S \subseteq N(y)$ for each vertex $y \in Y$. Now if $\mid X \mid > k$ and $\mid Y \mid > k$, then, by the definition, $\delta(G[(X-S) \cup (Y-T)]) \ge k$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 8. *Let $G = K_{n,m}$ be a complete bipartite graph with $n \ge m \ge k \ge 1$. Then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = \begin{cases} 2k & \text{if } n \ge m \ge 2k, \\ n+m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we present some bounds for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, where $G = K_{n_1,\dots,n_p}$ is a complete multipartite graph and $p \ge 3$.

Proposition 9. *Let $G = K_{n_1,\dots,n_p}$ be the complete p-partite graph of order n. If $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) < n$, then*

$$\lceil \frac{kp}{p-1} \rceil \le \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \le n-k.$$

Proof. We assume that G has vertex partition $V = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_p$ such that $\mid X_i \mid = n_i$ and $n = n_1 + \dots + n_p$. Let S be an arbitrary kTRDS of G. Since every vertex of X_i is adjacent to at least k vertices of $S - X_i = \bigcup_{j=1, j\ne i}^{p} S_j$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} s_j - s_i \ge k$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq p$, and hence $(p-1) \mid S \mid \geq pk$ that follows $\mid S \mid \geq \lceil \frac{pk}{p-1} \rceil$. Since S was arbitrary, therefore $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \geq \lceil \frac{pk}{p-1} \rceil$.

For proving the another inequality, we use the following definitions and notations. Let S be a kTRDS of G and let $S_i = X_i \cap S$, $S'_i = X_i - S$ and $\mid S_i \mid = s_i$. Let also $t(S)$ be the number of i s that $s_i < n_i$ and let

$$t_0 = \min\{t(S) \mid S \text{ is a kTRDS of } G\}.$$

We may assume that $t(S) \geq 1$. Because $t_0 = 0$ if and only if $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(K_{n_1,\ldots,n_p}) = n$. Then obviously $t(S) \geq 2$. Without less of generality, we may assume that $s_i < n_i$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq t(S)$. Let $w_j \in X_j - S = X_j - S_j$, for each $1 \leq j \leq t(S)$. Then $\mid N(w_j) \cap (V-S) \mid \geq k$, since S is a kTRDS. Since also $N(w_j) \cap (V-S) = \bigcup\limits_{i=1, i\neq j}^{t(S)} N(w_j) \cap S'_i$, then for each $1 \leq j \leq t$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leq \mid N(w_j) \cap (V-S) \mid \\ &= \sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{t(S)} \mid N(w_j) \cap S'_i \mid \\ &= \sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{t(S)} \mid S'_i \mid \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t(S)} \mid S'_i \mid - \mid S'_j \mid . \end{aligned}$$

By summing the inequalities we have

$$t(S)k \leq (t(S)-1)\sum_{i=1}^{t(S)}(n_i - s_i) = (t(S)-1)\sum_{i=1}^{p}(n_i - s_i) = (t(S)-1)(n - \mid S \mid)$$

and hence $\mid S \mid \leq n - k - \lceil \frac{k}{t(S)-1} \rceil$. Since S was arbitrary, then

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n - k - \lceil \frac{k}{t_0 - 1} \rceil \leq n - k.$$

□

If we look at closer to the proof of Proposition 9 we have the next result.

Proposition 10. *Let $G = K_{n_1,\ldots,n_p}$ be the complete p-partite graph of order n. If $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) < n$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n - k - \lceil \frac{k}{t_0-1} \rceil$.*

3. BOUNDS FOR k-TUPLE TOTAL RESTRAINED DOMINATION NUMBER

In this section, we first give a necessary and sufficient condition for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$, for some $m \geq k+1$, and then present some lower and upper bounds for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$ in terms on k, n and m.

Theorem 11. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then for any integer $m \geq k+1$, $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$ if and only if $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$, for some graph F and some spanning subgraph K'_m of K_m with $\delta(F) \geq k$ and $\delta(K'_m) \geq k$ such that m is minimum in the set*

(1)

$$\{t \mid G = F \circ_k K'_t, \text{ for some } F \text{ and some spanning subgraph } K'_t \text{ of } K_t \text{ with } \delta(F) \geq k,\ \delta(K'_t) \geq k\}.$$

Proof. Let S be a $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$-set and $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$, for some $m \geq k+1$. Then, $\mid S \mid = m$, and every vertex has at least k neighbors in S, and also every vertex in $V - S$ has at least k neighbors in $V - S$. Then $G[S] = K'_m$, for some spanning subgraph K'_m of K_m with $\delta(K'_m) \geq k$. If $\mid V \mid = m$, then $G = K'_m$. If $\mid V \mid > m$, then let F be the induced subgraph $G[V-S]$. Then $\delta(F) \geq k$ and $G = F \circ_k K'_m$. Also by the definition of k-tuple total restrained domination number, m is the minimum of the set given in (1).

Conversely, let $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$, for some graph F with $\delta(F) \geq k$ and some spanning subgraph K'_m of K_m with $\delta(K'_m) \geq k$ such that m is the minimum of the set given in (1). Then, since $V(K'_m)$ is a kTRDS of G with cardinal m, $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq m$. If $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m' < m$, then the previous paragraph concludes that for some graph F' with $\delta(F') \geq k$ and some spanning subgraph

$K'_{m'}$ of $K_{m'}$ with $\delta(K'_{m'}) \geq k$, $G = F' \circ_k K'_{m'}$, that is contradiction with the minimality of m. Therefore $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = m$. □

Corollary 12. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = k+1$ if and only if $G = K_{k+1}$ or $G = F \circ_k K_{k+1}$, for some graph F with $\delta(F) \geq k$.*

Theorem 13. *If G is a graph with minimum degree at least k on n vertices and with m edges, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \geq \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{m}{k}.$$

Proof. Let S be a minimum kTRDS of $G = (V, E)$. Since $\delta(G[S]) \geq k$, $\delta(G[V-S]) \geq k$ and S is kTDS, we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} m_1 & \geq & \frac{k\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)}{2} \\ m_2 & \geq & \frac{k(n-\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G))}{2} \\ m_3 & \geq & k(n-\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)), \end{array}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are respectively the number of edges in induced subgraphs $G[S]$ and $G[V-S]$ and m_3 is the number of edges connecting vertices of $V-S$ to vertices of S. By summing the inequalities, we obtain

$$m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \geq \frac{3kn}{2} - k\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)),$$

and thus $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \geq \frac{3n}{2} - \frac{m}{k}$. □

Corollary 14. [3] *If G is a graph without isolated vertex on n vertices and with m edges, then*

$$\gamma^r_t(G) \geq \frac{3}{2}n - m.$$

Theorem 15. *Let G be a graph with minimum degree at least k. Let $\delta(G) \geq a + k$, for some finite number a. If $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq a$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq a$.*

Proof. Let us consider a kTDS S such that $\mid S \mid \leq a$. For every $v \in V(G) - S$,

$$\deg(v) \geq \delta(G) \geq a + k \geq \mid S \mid + k.$$

Therefore $\mid N(v) \cap (V(G) - S) \mid \geq k$, that means S is a kTRDS of G and so $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq a$. □

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF k-TUPLE TOTAL RESTRAINED DOMATIC NUMBER

In this section we mainly present basic properties of $d^r_{\times k,t}(G)$ and bounds on the k-tuple total restrained domatic number of a graph.

Theorem 16. *If G is a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq k$, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n.$$

Moreover, if $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot d^r_{\times k,t}(G) = n$, then for each kTRDP $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_d\}$ of G with $d = d^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, each set V_i is a $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$-set.

Proof. Let $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_d\}$ be a kTRDP of G such that $d = d^r_{\times k,t}(G)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d \cdot \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) & = & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{d} \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \\ & \leq & \sum\limits_{i=1}^{d} \mid V_i \mid \\ & = & n. \end{array}$$

If $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot d^r_{\times k,t}(G) = n$, then the inequality occurring in the proof becomes equality. Hence for the kTRDP $\{V_1, V_2, ..., V_d\}$ of G and for each i, $\mid V_i \mid = \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$. Thus each set V_i is a $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$-set. □

An immediate consequence of Theorem 16 and Corollary 12 now follows.

Corollary 17. *If G is a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq k$, then*

$$d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \frac{n}{k+1},$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_{k+1}$ or $G = F \circ_k K_{k+1}$, for some graph F with $\delta(F) \geq k$.

For bipartite graphs, we can improve the bound given in Corollary 17, by Proposition 7.

Corollary 18. *If G is a bipartite graph of order n with vertex partition $V(G) = X \cup Y$ and $\delta(G) \geq k$, then*

$$d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2k},$$

with equality if and only there exist two k-subsets $S \subseteq X$ and $T \subseteq Y$ such that for each vertex $x \in X$, $N(x) \supseteq T$, and for each vertex $y \in Y$, $N(y) \supseteq S$ and the minimum degree of the induced subgraph $G[(X - S) \cup (Y - T)]$ is at least k.

Now, we show that the k-tuple total restrained domatic number of every graph is equal to its k-tuple total domatic number.

Theorem 19. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$. Then $d^r_{\times k,t}(G) = d_{\times k,t}(G)$.*

Proof. Each k-tuple total restrained dominating set in G is a k-tuple total dominating set in G, therefore each k-tuple total restrained domatic partition of G is a k-tuple total domatic partition of G and $d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq d_{\times k,t}(G)$. Now let $d = d_{\times k,t}(G) \geq 2$ and let $\mathbf{D} = \{D_1, ..., D_d\}$ be a k-tuple total domatic partition of G. Choose D_1 as an arbitrary class of $\mathbf{D}$. Let $x \in V(G)$. As D_1 is a k-tuple total dominating set in G, there exists k-set S^1_x such that $S^1_x \subseteq N(x) \cap D_1$. Now suppose $x \in V(G) - D_1$. Then $x \in D_i$ for some $2 \leq i \leq d$. The set D_i is also a k-tuple total dominating set in G, therefore there exists k-set S^i_x such that $S^i_x \subseteq N(x) \cap D_i$ and evidently $S^i_x \subseteq V(G) - D_1$, because $D_1 \cap D_i = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have proved that D_1 is a k-tuple total restrained dominating set in G. The set D_1 was chosen arbitrarily, therefore $\mathbf{D}$ is a k-tuple total restrained domatic partition of G and $d_{\times k,t}(G) \leq d^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, which together with the former inequality gives the required result. □

Corollary 20. [12] *Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Then $d^r_t(G) = d_t(G)$.*

Now, we give a sufficient condition for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$.

Theorem 21. *Let G be a graph with minimum degree at least k. If $d_{\times k,t}(G) \geq 2$, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G).$$

Proof. Since every k-tuple total restrained dominating set in G is also k-tuple total dominating set in G, therefore $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$. For the converse inequality, let S be a minimum k-tuple total dominating set of G. Since $d_{\times k,t}(G) \geq 2$, then there exists another k-tuple total dominating set S' in G which is disjoint of S. Let $x \in V(G) - S$. Then x is adjacent to at least k vertices of S', since S' is a k-tuple total dominating set of G. This follows that x is adjacent to at least k vertices of $V(G) - S$. Therefore, S is a k-tuple total restrained dominating set of G and so $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$. The previous two inequalities follow $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$. □

Corollary 22. *Let G be a graph without isolated vertex. If $d_t(G) \geq 2$, then $\gamma^r_t(G) = \gamma_t(G)$.*

The converse of Theorem 21 does not hold. For example, if $G = K_{k+1}$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = k + 1$ but $d_{\times k,t}(G) = 1$. Also as another example let $G = K_{n,m}$ be the complete bipartite graph with this conditions that $k \leq n \leq m < 2k$ and $(n, m) \neq (k, k)$. Then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k < \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) = n + m$, but $d_{\times k,t}(G) = 1$.

5. COMPLEMENTARY PRISMS

First we calculate the k-tuple total restrained domination number of the complementary prism of a regular graph for some integer k.

Theorem 23. *Let k and ℓ be integers such that $1 \leq k-1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-2$. If G is a ℓ-regular graph of order n, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) \geq n+k,$$

with equality if and only if $n \geq \ell + 2k$ and $V(\overline{G})$ contains a k-subset T such that for each vertex $\overline{i} \in V(\overline{G})$, $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap T \mid \geq k-1$ and also if $\overline{i} \in V(\overline{G}) - T$, then $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap (V(\overline{G}) - T) \mid \geq k$.

Proof. Let $V(G\overline{G}) = V(G) \cup V(\overline{G})$ such that $V(G) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $V(\overline{G}) = \{\overline{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Let $n \geq 2k+\ell$, and let S be an arbitrary kTRDS of $G\overline{G}$. Since each vertex i has degree $\ell+1 \leq 2k-1$, then $V(G) \subseteq S$, by Observation 1.i. Let $\overline{i} \notin S$. Then $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap V(\overline{G}) \cap S \mid \geq k-1$. If $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap V(\overline{G}) \cap S \mid \geq k$, then we have nothing to prove. Thus let $N(\overline{i}) \cap V(\overline{G}) \cap S = \{\overline{j_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$. But this follows that there exists at least one vertex $\overline{t} \in S - \{\overline{j_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ such that its corresponding vertex t in G is adjacent to some vertex j_i, when $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. So $\mid S \mid \geq n+k$, and since S was arbitrary, then $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) \geq n+k$.

Obviously, it can be seen that $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) = n+k$ if and only if $n \geq \ell+2k$ and $V(\overline{G})$ contains a k-subset T such that for each vertex $\overline{i} \in V(\overline{G})$, $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap T \mid \geq k-1$ and also if $\overline{i} \in V(\overline{G}) - T$, then $\mid N(\overline{i}) \cap (V(\overline{G}) - T) \mid \geq k$. □

Observation 1.i follows the next result.

Corollary 24. *Let k and ℓ be integers such that $1 \leq k-1 \leq \ell \leq 2k-2$. If G is a ℓ-regular graph of order $n \leq \ell + 2k - 1$, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times k}(G\overline{G}) = 2n.$$

Corollary 25. *Let $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times 2,t}(C_n\overline{C_n}) = \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } n = 4,5, \\ n+2 & \text{if } n \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

The next theorem state lower and upper bounds for $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G})$, when G is an arbitrary graph.

Theorem 26. *If G is a graph of order n with $k \leq min\{\delta(G), \delta(\overline{G})\}$, then*

$$\gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(\overline{G}) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{G}),$$

where $k \geq 2$ in the lower bound and $k \geq 1$ in the upper bound.

Proof. For proving $\gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(\overline{G}) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G})$, let $k \geq 1$ and let D be a kTRDS of $G\overline{G}$. Since every vertex of $V(G)$ (resp. $V(\overline{G})$) is adjacent to only one vertex of $V(\overline{G})$ (resp. $V(G)$), then we have a nontrivial partition $D = D' \cup D''$ such that D' is a $(k-1)$TRDS of G and D'' is a $(k-1)$TRDS of $\overline{G}$. Then

$$\gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times(k-1),t}(\overline{G}) \leq \mid D' \mid + \mid D'' \mid = \mid D \mid = \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}).$$

We now prove $\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{G})$. let $k \geq 1$. Since for every kTRDS S of G and every kTRDS S' of $\overline{G}$, the set $S \cup S'$ is a kTRDS of $G\overline{G}$, then

$$\gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G\overline{G}) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G) + \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(\overline{G}).$$

□

In continues, we will determine $\gamma^r_t(C_n\overline{C_n})$, $\gamma^r_{\times 2,t}(C_n\overline{C_n})$ and $\gamma^r_t(P_n\overline{P_n})$.

Proposition 27. *Let $n \geq 4$. Then $d_t(C_n\overline{C_n}) \geq 2$.*

Proof. We consider the following four cases.

Case 1. Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. For $n = 4$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}\}$ and $S' = \{3, \overline{3}, 4, \overline{4}\}$. If $n > 4$, then we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 2, \overline{2}\} \cup \{5+4i, 6+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 2\}$ and $S' = \{3, \overline{3}, 4, \overline{4}\} \cup \{7+4i, 8+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 2\}$.

Case 2. Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. For $n = 5$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}\}$ and $S' = \{2, \overline{2}, 5, \overline{5}\}$ and for $n = 9$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}, 7, \overline{7}\}$ and $S' = \{2, \overline{2}, 5, \overline{5}, 8, \overline{8}\}$. If $n > 9$, then we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}, 7, \overline{7}\} \cup \{10+4i, 11+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 4\}$ and $S' = \{3, \overline{3}, 6, \overline{6}, 9, \overline{9}\} \cup \{12+4i, 13+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 4\}$.

Case 3. $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. For $n = 6$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}\}$ and $S' = \{2, \overline{2}, 5, \overline{5}\}$. For $n > 6$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}\} \cup \{7+4i, 8+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 3\}$ and $S' = \{3, \overline{3}, 6, \overline{6}\} \cup \{9+4i, 10+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 3\}$.

Case 4. $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$. For $n = 7$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}, \overline{6}\}$ and $S' = \{2, \overline{2}, 5, \overline{5}, \overline{7}\}$. For $n > 7$, we choose $S = \{1, \overline{1}, 4, \overline{4}, \overline{n-1}\} \cup \{7+4i, 8+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 3\}$ and $S' = \{2, 3, \overline{3}, 6, \overline{6}\} \cup \{9+4i, 10+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil - 3\}$.

Since in all cases, S and S' are two disjoint $\gamma_t(C_n\overline{C_n})$-sets, then $d_t(C_n\overline{C_n}) \geq 2$. □

Propositions A and 27 and Theorem 21 imply the next result.

Proposition 28. *Let* $n \geq 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma_t^r(C_n\overline{C_n}) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 2 & \textit{if } n \equiv 0 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 1 & \textit{if } n \equiv 3 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil & \textit{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 29. *Let* $n \geq 4$. *Then*

$$\gamma_t^r(P_n\overline{P}_n) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 2 & \textit{if } n \equiv 0 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil + 1 & \textit{if } n \equiv 3 \ (\textit{mod } 4), \\ 2\lceil n/4 \rceil & \textit{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Proposition C with this fact that for every graph G, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$, follow that

$$\gamma_t^r(P_n\overline{P_n}) \geq \gamma_t(P_n\overline{P_n}) = \begin{cases} 2\lceil (n-2)/4 \rceil + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod 4, \\ 2\lceil (n-2)/4 \rceil + 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. For $n = 8$, set $S = \{\overline{1}, \overline{8}, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and for $n > 8$ set $S = \{\overline{1}, \overline{n-6}, \overline{n-5}, \overline{n}, n-3, n-2\} \cup \{3+4i, 4+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor - 3\}$. If $n \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod 4$, then respectively set $S = \{\overline{1}, \overline{n-2}, \overline{n}, n-2\} \cup \{3+4i, 4+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor - 2\}$, $S = \{\overline{1}, \overline{n}\} \cup \{3+4i, 4+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor - 1\}$ and $S = \{\overline{1}, \overline{n-1}, \overline{n}\} \cup \{3+4i, 4+4i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/4 \rfloor - 1\}$. Since in all cases, S is a TRDS of $P_n\overline{P_n}$ with cardinal $\gamma_t(P_n\overline{P_n})$, thus we have completed our proof. □

References

[1] E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi, Towards a theory of domination in graphs, *Networks* **7** (1977) 247-261.

[2] E.V. Cockxne, R. M. Dawes and S. T. Hedetniemi, Total domination in graphs, *Networks* **10** (1980) 211-219.

[3] J. Cyman, J. Raczek, On the total restrained domination number of a graph, *Australian Journal of Combinatorics* **36** (2006) 91-100.

[4] G. S. Domke, J. H. Hattingh et al., Restrained domination in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **203** (1999) 61-69.

[5] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998.

[6] T. W. Haynes, M. A. Henning, P. J. Slater, L. C. van der Merwe, The complementary product of two graphs, *Bull. Inst. Comb. Appl.* **51** (2007) 21-30.

[7] M. A. Henning, Graphs with large restrained domination number, *Discrete Mathematics* **197/198** (1999) 415-429.

[8] M. A. Henning, A. P. Kazemi, k-Tuple total domination in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **158** (2010) 1006-1011.

[9] A. P. Kazemi, The k-tuple total domination number of a complementary prism, *Manuscript.*

[10] S. M. Sheikholeslami, L. Volkmann, The k-tuple total domatic number of a graph, *Manuscript.*

[11] C. Xue-gang, S. Liung and Ma De-xiang, On total restrained domination in graphs, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* **55** (130) (2005) 165-173.

[12] B. Zelinka, Remarks on restrained domination and total restrained domination in graphs, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal* **55** (130) (2005) 393-396.